

The risks facing digital transformation



Digital transformation is no longer optional — it is a strategic imperative. Yet for every transformation that succeeds, several fail to deliver on their promise, often at enormous cost to the organization. This briefing equips board members and senior executives with a structured framework to understand, interrogate, and govern the risks embedded in any digital transformation program.

Whether your organization is modernizing legacy infrastructure, deploying AI-driven operations, or migrating to cloud-native architectures, the risk profile is complex and multi-layered. The questions your board asks — and the rigor with which it demands answers — will determine whether your transformation delivers value or becomes a costly cautionary tale.



The Digital Transformation Risk Pathway

Any digital transformation project is very likely to be critical for your business — both in terms of the costs required to deliver it and the importance of the outcomes it is expected to achieve. Getting your arms around the risks posed across the entire transformation program is key to the success of the project. The six key components of the transformation risk pathway are set out below, each representing a critical control point where board oversight can prevent failure.



Design

- Due diligence over design partners — verifying track record, capacity, and alignment with organizational objectives
- Rigorous due diligence over solution design — ensuring architecture is scalable, secure, and fit for purpose before commitments are made



Execution

- Right capabilities and skills in place — both internally and within the implementation partner team
- Realistic and fully costed delivery plan — with clear interdependencies, milestones, and contingency provisions



Testing

- Robust testing program covering all relevant aspects of the new solution
- Appropriate stress testing of scenarios — including edge cases, failure modes, and load conditions



Stakeholders

- All stakeholders identified and positively engaged throughout each phase of the project
- Stakeholders accepting of change — with communication plans and change management embedded from the outset



Governance

- Adequate oversight of risks and deliverables — with clear accountability structures and escalation paths
- All ethical, environmental, and regulatory risks managed — including security, operational resilience, data, and third-party dependencies



Go-Live

- All risks adequately resolved before cutover — with no critical or high-impact issues outstanding
- All testing issues resolved — with formal sign-off from appropriate individuals and third-party digital warranties

❏ **Board Takeaway:** Each component of this pathway represents a potential point of failure.

Boards should ensure that governance structures provide visibility across all six components — not just at go-live, but continuously throughout the program lifecycle.

Killer Questions: Digital Transformation Risk Pathway

The following questions represent the critical interrogations that every board should be prepared to ask — and receive clear, evidenced answers to — at each stage of a digital transformation program. These are not rhetorical exercises; they are the minimum standard of board oversight required to protect organizational value and ensure accountability.

1

Design

1. Has the leadership team assessed the proposed design as **'fit for purpose'** against current and future business requirements?
2. What factors provide comfort that the new solution design will **meet the transformation requirements** at scale?
3. Has there been an **independent assessment** over the critical elements of the design to ensure feasibility before commitment?

2

Stakeholders

1. Are all key stakeholders **adequately engaged through each phase** of the transformation project?
2. Is there an adequate **communication plan** in place to ensure all stakeholders are informed of their responsibilities?
3. Are issues highlighted by stakeholders **escalated quickly** for resolution at the appropriate governance level?

3

Execution

1. Is the design supported by a **skills and capability assessment** that identifies gaps?
2. How has leadership evaluated that the execution team — client and implementation partner — have the **skills and capabilities required**?
3. What skills need to be provided by **third parties or consultants**, and are these contracts in place?
4. Is there a **resource-loaded project plan** setting out interdependencies, critical path, and contingency buffers?

4

Governance

1. Is there a **robust risk assessment** covering all key elements — ethical, environmental, governance, security, operational resilience, data, third-party dependencies, and energy security?
2. Is there **independent validation** that all critical and high-impact risks have been adequately managed and mitigated?

5

Testing

1. Is there a **robust testing plan** in place that covers all relevant aspects of the new solution?
2. Who has evaluated the testing to ensure it covers **AI solutions, code quality, data environment, interfaces, infrastructure, third-party deliverables, and security**?
3. Are there **robust test scripts** that are signed off and independently evaluated before go-live?

6

Go-Live

1. Does the **Go-Live checklist** consider all scenarios — including rollback, failure, and business continuity?
2. Are the **appropriate individuals** (with the right skills and experience) signing off each item on the go-live checklist?
3. Are **third parties engaged** in the sign-off process and providing digital warranties confirming go-live readiness?

 **Board Action:** Use these questions as a standing agenda framework for transformation program reviews. If leadership cannot provide clear, evidenced answers, that in itself is a risk signal requiring immediate escalation.